Breastfeeding, brain activation to own infant cry, and maternal sensitivity
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Background: Research points to the importance of breastfeeding for promoting close mother–infant contact and social-emotional development. Recent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have identified brain regions related to maternal behaviors. However, little research has addressed the neurobiological mechanisms underlying the relationship between breastfeeding and maternal behavior in human mothers. We investigated the associations between breastfeeding, maternal brain response to own infant stimuli, and maternal sensitivity in the early postpartum. Methods: Seventeen biological mothers of healthy infants participated in two matched groups according to feeding method – exclusive breastfeeding and exclusive formula-feeding at 2–4 weeks postpartum. fMRI scanning was conducted in the first postpartum month to examine maternal brain activation in response to her own baby’s cry versus control baby-cry. Dyadic interactions between mothers and infants at 3–4 months postpartum were videotaped in the home and blindly coded for maternal sensitivity. Results: In the first postpartum month, breastfeeding mothers showed greater activations in the superior frontal gyrus, insula, precuneus, striatum, and amygdala while listening to their own baby-cry as compared to formula-feeding mothers. For both breastfeeding and formula-feeding mothers, greater activations in the right superior frontal gyrus and amygdala were associated with higher maternal sensitivity at 3–4 months postpartum. Conclusions: Results suggest links between breastfeeding and greater response to infant cues in brain regions implicated in maternal–infant bonding and empathy during the early postpartum. Such brain activations may facilitate greater maternal sensitivity as infants enter their social world. Keywords: Breastfeeding, infancy, maternal sensitivity, mother–infant interaction, neuroimaging. Abbreviations: ANOVA: analysis of variance; BOLD: blood-oxygen-level-dependent; CIB: Coding Interactive Behavior; fMRI: functional magnetic resonance imaging; GLM: general linear model; MPOA: medial preoptic area; OLS: ordinary least squares.

Breastfeeding represents a set of maternal behaviors that enhance the emotional bond between mother and infant via close physical contact and affectionate dyadic interactions (Feldman & Eidelman, 2003; Strathern, Mamun, Najman, & O’Callaghan, 2009b). Research has demonstrated that breastfeeding may contribute to the development of a range of sensitive maternal behaviors during the early postpartum period. For instance, breastfeeding mothers exhibit more interactive behaviors toward their infants, including touching and gazing, as well as more affectionate responses during feeding at 1 and 3 months postpartum in comparison with formula-feeding mothers (Dunn & Richards, 1977; Kuzela, Stifter, & Worobey, 1990; Lavelli & Poli, 1998). Compared with formula-feeding mothers, breastfeeding mothers have increased parasympathetic nervous system modulation, greater vascular stress response, lower perceived stress levels, and fewer depressive symptoms (Groër, 2005; Mezzacappa & Katlin, 2002; Mezzacappa, Kelsey, & Katkin, 2005). Such close physical contact and protection from negative mood and stress may contribute to maternal attunement to the infant’s physical and mental needs.

Indeed, sensitive maternal attunement to her infant is among the central determinants of positive parenting and contributes to children’s physiological, cognitive, and social-emotional growth (Feldman, 2007a; Feldman & Eidelman, 2004). Despite various environmental, maternal, or genetic confounds, several longitudinal studies using large samples have shown that breastfeeding predicts better neurological and cognitive competence in the offspring, beginning in early childhood and continuing throughout adulthood (Golding, Rogers, & Emmett, 1997; Kramer et al., 2008). Several studies have similarly shown that breastfeeding may be associated with a lower risk for psychopathology in later life, including adult incidence of anxiety and alcoholism (Alati, Van Dooren, Najman, Williams, & Clavarino, 2009; Hughes & Bushnell, 1977). Furthermore, Jones and colleagues (2004) found that infants of depressed mothers who breastfed did not
show the frontal EEG asymmetry patterns, i.e., left frontal hypoactivity, that had been reported for infants of depressed mothers associated with greater risk for depression in adulthood.

The neurobiological substrates that mediate the relationship between breastfeeding and maternal sensitivity toward their infants are being further studied with animals, and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) of human mothers. Extensive animal research across mammalian species has identified several brain regions important for parental responses to infant stimuli, highlighted by the hypothalamic region, including the medial preoptic area (MPOA) (Leckman & Herman, 2002; Numan & Insel, 2003). In addition, a rich set of limbic and cortical brain regions have been shown to regulate maternal behaviors in mammals, including the midbrain, amygdala, striatum (putamen, caudate, globus pallidus), anterior cingulate gyrus, and prefrontal cortex (Newman, 2007; Numan & Stolzenberg, 2009). Building on this, recent fMRI and volumetric MRI studies suggest that homologous brain regions in human mothers subserve maternal perceptions of infant stimuli as well as related human social cognition, affect and behavior (Barrett & Fleming, in press; Kim et al., 2010a; Swain, in press; Swain, Lorberbaum, Kose, & Strathearn, 2007).

The current study examined the associations between breastfeeding, maternal brain activation in response to salient infant cues, and the mother’s sensitivity to her infant. First, we investigated the relationship between breastfeeding and the neural correlates of mother–infant bonding by comparing maternal brain responses to own baby-cry versus a standard baby-cry in breastfeeding and formula-feeding mothers during the first postpartum month. Infant cry is a highly salient stimulus that elicits maternal behaviors (Bowlby, 1969) and related maternal brain responses (Swain et al., 2007). We thus hypothesized that in response to own baby-cry, brain regions related to maternal behaviors including the hypothalamus, midbrain, amygdala, striatum, cingulate cortex, and prefrontal cortex would show greater activation in breastfeeding mothers relative to formula-feeding mothers.

Second, we examined whether the maternal brain responses to infant stimuli among breastfeeding and formula-feeding mothers in the first postpartum month would be associated with standardized observer ratings of maternal sensitivity during mother–infant dyadic interactions videotaped at 3–4 months postpartum. In the current study, we expected that breastfeeding mothers would show greater levels of maternal sensitivity at 3 months postpartum. We also hypothesized that the level of brain activity in response to own baby-cry at the first month postpartum would be associated with maternal sensitivity in dyadic interactions videotaped at 3–4 months postpartum.

Methods

Participants

Seventeen biological mothers with full-term, healthy infants were recruited in postpartum hospital rooms at the Yale-New Haven Hospital. All mothers were Caucasian and married or cohabiting. Exclusion criteria were birth complications, current psychiatric diagnosis, and history of prescription medications within 2 weeks of the experiment. Informed consent was obtained from each participant according to procedures approved by the Yale University School of Medicine Human Investigations Committee.

Nine of the mothers were categorized as breastfeeding mothers and they were breastfeeding exclusively at 2–4 weeks postpartum. The other eight mothers were categorized as formula-feeding mothers and they were exclusively feeding formula to their infant at 2–4 weeks postpartum. Mothers who chose to formula-feed because of their own medical conditions were excluded from the study. The non-medical reasons reported by the mothers for formula-feeding were: need to care for multiple children, problems with milk supply, or previous experience of pain while nursing. Among breastfeeding mothers, at 3–4 months postpartum, five out of the nine breastfeeding mothers were exclusively breastfeeding. Two mothers reported the use of formula supplementation less than 4 times per day and two mothers reported formula supplementation more than 7 times per day. All mothers in the formula-feeding group continued with formula feeding at 3–4 months postpartum.

Procedure

Study participants visited the research center to acquire brain imaging data between 2 and 4 weeks postpartum. Maternal sensitivity data was obtained between 3 and 4 months postpartum during a home visit. This entailed videotaping a mother and infant for five minutes, after being asked to interact with their infants in a natural way. Subsequently, the videotapes could be evaluated by an independent rater that had no direct interactions with the subjects and was blind to which mothers were breastfeeding their respective infants.

Maternal sensitivity. Mother–infant interactions were coded using the Coding Interactive Behavior (CIB) Manual (Feldman, 1998). The CIB is a global rating system for adult–child interactions with versions for newborns, infants, children, and adolescents. It consists of 42 adult, child, and dyadic codes, each rated on a scale of 1 (a little) to 5 (a lot). These scales are then aggregated into six composites. The CIB has been used in multiple studies and has shown sensitivity to infant age, interacting partner, cultural variations, biological and social-emotional risk conditions, and the effects of interventions (Feldman, 2010; Feldman, Eidelman, & Rotenberg, 2004; Feldman & Klein, 2003; Feldman & Masalha, 2010; Feldman, Weller, Sirota, & Eidelman, 2003).

The Mother Sensitivity construct, used in this study, includes the following ten (averaged) codes ($r = .91$): mother acknowledgement of child communications, vocal clarity, positive affect, gaze, appropriate range of
affect, affectionate touch, resourcefulness, consistency of style, adaptation to child signals, and supportive presence. The ten scales define the maternal sensitive-responsive style and include the typical postpartum human maternal behavior (gaze, affect, vocalizations, touch), a predictable style (consistency) and the adaptation of maternal behavior to the infant’s cues (adaptation, resourcefulness when infant is distressed, appropriate range of affect [implying that mothers increase or decrease stimulation in accordance with infant signals] and supportive presence [assessing the degree to which mother’s presence provides a ‘regulatory’ context for the child]). Maternal sensitivity coded with the CIB has shown to be stable in multiple observations from 3 months to 13 years (Feldman, 2010) and to predict cognitive and social-emotional development across childhood and up to adolescence (Feldman, 2010; Feldman & Eidelman, 2009).

**Brain imaging study**

*Image acquisition.* High resolution T1-weighted anatomic magnetic resonance images (MRI) (3D MPRAGE; TR = 2530; TE = 3.66; matrix size 256 × 256 × 176 slices) were obtained using a Siemens trio 3T full-body scanner (Erlangen, Germany). Anatomical T1-weighted echo-planar images (spin-echo; TR = 300ms; TE = 4ms; matrix size 64 × 64; 30 axial slices; 3.125 mm in-plane resolution, 5 mm thick) were acquired to be coplanar with the functional scans for spatial registration. Then, functional data were acquired (echo-planar T2*-weighted gradient-echo, TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 80°, matrix size 64 × 64, 30 axial slices, 3.125 mm in-plane resolution, 5 mm thick).

**fMRI stimuli.** Following recruitment and consent to participate, mothers were asked to record their own baby-cry within the first 2 weeks postpartum using a portable miniature digital audio recorder provided by the researchers. For own baby-cry stimuli, mothers were asked to record them during diaper changes, but not while the baby was in pain or hungry. Samples of baby cries from mothers who did not participate in the current study were recorded and were rated by seven adults on a 1–10 scale for emotional intensity. One with an average level of emotional intensity was selected for control baby-cry stimuli. For all stimuli, non-baby-cry sounds such as gurgles or grunts as well as any other background sounds were removed in order to generate blocks of 30-second baby-cry stimuli using sound-editing software (Cool Edit Pro Version 2.0, Syntrillum Software, Phoenix, AZ). To ensure that differences in brain responses to cries between breastfeeding and formula-feeding mothers in this study were due to their different feeding practices and not due to the different levels of emotional intensity of cries, we asked ten adults to rate the emotional intensity of each baby-cry stimuli (1 = none, 2 = a little, 3 = moderate, 4 = maximum). There were no differences in the emotional intensity levels between baby cries of breastfeeding mothers (M = 2.58, SD = .52) and formula-feeding mothers (M = 2.69, SD = .53).

In the MRI scanner, mothers heard cries through padded headphones, organized into two functional runs, in which blocks of different cry stimuli lasted 30 seconds. Each run contained blocks of either (A) own baby-cry or (B) control baby-cry. These stimulus blocks were arranged so participants heard each sound block a total of five times in the following order: ABABAB and then ABABAB. Each stimulus block was separated by a 10-second rest period during which only background scanner noise could be heard. Before scanning, participants listened to samples of all sounds through headphones. The volume of the sounds was adjusted so that the participants could hear the sounds clearly and so that the participants were familiarized to the sounds to minimize any possible surprise effects. During the scans, participants rated levels of emotional responses to each cry stimuli by using a button press (1 = none, 2 = a little, 3 = moderate, 4 = maximum emotional response).

**fMRI data preprocessing.** Functional imaging data was preprocessed and statistical analysis was performed using standard algorithms of Brainvoyager QX Software versions 1.6 and 1.8 (Brain Innovation, Maastricht, The Netherlands). Functional runs were pre-processed using three-dimentional motion correction based on trilinear interpolation by spatial alignment of all brain volumes to the first volume. One formula-feeding mother had a far greater than 3-mm translation in both runs; thus, data from this subject mother was excluded from further fMRI analyses. Slice scan-time correction was performed by sinc interpolation. After linear trend removal, a temporal high-pass filter was used to remove nonlinear drifts of 3 cycles or less per time course. Motion-corrected images were spatially smoothed using a Gaussian filter with a full-width half-maximum value of 6.25 mm. Data from each subject were co-registered with each subject’s high-resolution 3D anatomical data set, then transformed into standardized 3D Talairach coordinate space using piecewise linear warping. The functional data were resampled as 1 mm³ voxels.

**Data analysis**

The analysis of the blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signal was based on the block design protocol in response to own baby-cry sound relative to control baby-cry sound; contrasts were analyzed using a random effects general linear model (GLM). Contrast images were computed for each condition for each participant separately. Group effects (breastfeeding vs. formula-feeding) were computed using the transformed contrast images in a conjunction of random effects. GLM analysis and the group t-maps were generated for a specific contrast: brain difference activation in response to own baby-cry minus control baby-cry between breastfeeding and formula-feeding mothers at 2–4 weeks postpartum. The significance threshold for between-group differences was set at p < .01, and was corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster-level false-positive rate (x) of .05 (Forman et al., 1995; Goebel, Esposito, & Formisano, 2006). Thus, the uncorrected activated clusters were rejected if they included smaller than 44 contiguous voxels. Because we found that maternal education levels were significantly different between the two groups, we ran further analysis to address this issue. Once clusters with sig-
significant between-group differences were identified, signal value differences between own baby-cry vs. other baby-cry were extracted from each cluster, and entered into the SPSS (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Then, ordinary least squares (OLS) regression was performed to test whether the group status (breastfeeding vs. formula-feeding) was significantly associated with signal differences between own baby-cry vs. other baby-cry in each region when maternal education was included as a covariate. Results from the clusters which had a significant effect of the feeding status at \( p < .05 \) were reported and included for the correlation analysis with maternal sensitivity at \( p < .05 \).

In order to test significant correlations of maternal sensitivity at 3–4 months postpartum with brain activations at 2–4 weeks postpartum, we performed a voxel-wise correlation between maternal sensitivity and brain responses to own minus control baby-cry sound at \( p < .01 \), corrected at the cluster level. A correction for multiple testing was not employed since there is only one primary analysis based on one hypothesis (Perneger, 1998). The hypothesis was that maternal sensitivity would be only positively correlated with the BOLD signals in response to own baby-cry in brain regions that were critical for maternal behaviors. Thus, in the hypothalamus, midbrain, amygdala, striatum, anterior cingulate gyrus and prefrontal regions, the correlation analysis was limited only to areas that were identified to exhibit significantly greater activations in the breastfeeding group relative to the formula-feeding group at 2–4 weeks postpartum after controlling for maternal education levels.

**Results**

**Description of breastfeeding and formula-feeding groups**

Mothers from the two groups were relatively well matched in demographic background and included both first-time mothers as well as experienced mothers. Mothers in the breastfeeding and formula-feeding groups showed no mean differences in age, handedness, parenting experience, the number of children, and delivery method (see Table 1). However, since maternal education was higher in the breastfeeding group relative to the formula-feeding group, maternal education level was included as a covariate in comparing the two groups’ brain responses at 2–4 weeks postpartum.

**Behavioral ratings**

Mothers’ subjective ratings of emotional response while listening to the cries in the scanner were analyzed. Repeated measure ANOVA with feeding status as a between-group variable, cry type as a within-group variable and maternal education as a covariate was performed. The analysis revealed that at 2–4 weeks postpartum, neither group of mothers rated the two types of cries differently.

**Brain responses to own versus control baby-cry at 2–4 weeks postpartum**

The contrasts of own baby-cry versus control baby-cry between the two groups of mothers revealed that the breastfeeding mothers showed a greater BOLD signal in several brain regions in response to own baby-cry sound compared to formula-feeding mothers. Activations in right lateral globus pallidus/putamen, right putamen, left inferior frontal gyrus, and right superior prefrontal gyrus were greater among the breastfeeding mothers, \( p < .01 \) (corrected), \( p < .05 \) after controlling for maternal education (see Table 2, Figure 1). Bilateral insula, right fusiform gyrus, right precuneus, right cuneus as well as left superior and middle temporal gyrus were also activated in response to own baby-cry among breastfeeding mothers more than among formula-feeding mothers, \( p < .01 \) (corrected), \( p < .05 \) after controlling for maternal education (see Table 2, Figure 1). No brain areas were found to exhibit significantly greater BOLD activations among the formula-feeding mothers in response to own baby-cry (minus control baby-cry) at the level of significance, \( p < .01 \) (corrected).

**Relationships between neuroimaging and maternal sensitivity**

The OLS regression analysis revealed that at 3–4 months postpartum, breastfeeding mothers (\( M = 4.46, SD = .42 \)) had a trend toward higher levels of maternal sensitivity relative to formula-feeding mothers (\( M = 3.54, SD = .74 \)), \( \beta = -2.13, p = .05 \). Maternal education was included as a covariate.

---

**Table 1** Comparison in breastfeeding vs. formula-feeding mothers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Breastfeeding</th>
<th>Formula-feeding</th>
<th>t(15)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>33.23 (4.28)</td>
<td>30.27 (8.02)</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-born/later-born</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>-.36</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of older children</td>
<td>.78 (.67)</td>
<td>1.88 (1.96)</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant gender ratio</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal/C-section delivery</td>
<td>7/2</td>
<td>7/1</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal handedness</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal educational level (in years)</td>
<td>17.89 (1.76)</td>
<td>14.75 (3.28)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Among breastfeeding mothers at 3–4 months postpartum, four mothers reported that they occasionally formula fed their infants. However, the OLS regression analysis revealed that the frequency of formula-feeding was not significantly associated with maternal sensitivity among the breastfeeding mothers, \( p > .10 \).

A voxel-wise correlation showed that maternal sensitivity at 3–4 months postpartum was positively correlated with activations in the right superior frontal gyrus (\( r = .62, p < .01 \)), and right lateral globus pallidus/amygdala (\( r = .53, p < .05 \)) at 2–4 weeks postpartum (see Figure 2). Correlations were not found in the left inferior frontal gyrus or right putamen.

### Table 2 Activation results of the group comparison in the contrast of ‘own baby-cry’ minus ‘control baby-cry’ at 2–4 weeks postpartum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of activation</th>
<th>Brodmann area</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>t-score</th>
<th>Cluster size</th>
<th>Talairach coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>23 50 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>–50 22 –2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>–53 5 –1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>–63 –42 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse temporal gyrus</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>–60 –18 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>2,963</td>
<td>45 –21 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2,093</td>
<td>38 –3 –4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>33 –69 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>1,589</td>
<td>–44 –17 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>2,701</td>
<td>54 –47 –4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2,707</td>
<td>–19 –65 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuneus</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>12 –77 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>–3 –86 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>21 –1 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral globus pallidus/Amygdala</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>20 –5 –6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>46 –57 –28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>–28 –57 –26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( p < .01 \): corrected for multiple comparisons; \( p < .05 \) after controlling for maternal education level.

x, y, and z coordinates refer to the location of the voxel with maximum signal intensity.
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Figure 1 Areas of activation in the contrast (own baby-cry minus control baby-cry) at 2–4 weeks postpartum. Areas in red show greater activations in breastfeeding group relative to formula-feeding group (x, y, z = –22, –15, –2)

**Discussion**

The current study demonstrates associations between breastfeeding and maternal brain responses to own infant stimuli as well as dyadic parenting behaviors in the early postpartum period. During the first postpartum month, several limbic and cortical brain regions previously shown to be important for caregiving behaviors and empathy were more active among breastfeeding mothers compared to formula-feeding mothers while listening to their own baby versus a control baby-cry. Furthermore, for all the mothers in the study (breastfeeding and formula-feeding), the degree of activation in these brain regions in responses to own baby-cry at the first postpartum month was correlated with greater maternal sensitivity observed during dyadic mother–infant interactions that were videotaped at 3–4 months postpartum. These findings relate the neural processing of infant sensory cues by mothers and the development of maternal responsiveness as infants begin to take an active part in dyadic interactions.

As predicted, we found differences between breastfeeding and formula-feeding mothers in activations...
in the amygdala, putamen, globus pallidus, and superior frontal gyrus in response to their own baby’s cry. Rodent studies have consistently shown that activations in these brain regions and the connections between them are correlated with the emergence of maternal behavior (Mello & Villares, 1997), and lesions in these circuits can severely impair the expression of maternal behavior (Numan, 2007; Numan & Smith, 1984). Similar findings have been reported in human studies when mothers were exposed to salient infant stimuli including baby cries, still photographs, or video clips (Noriuchi, Kikuchi, & Senoo, 2008; Ranote et al., 2004; Strathearn, Fonagy, Amico, & Montague, 2009a; Strathearn, Li, Fonagy, & Montague, 2008; Swain et al., 2008). The brain areas implicated included portions of the mirror-neuron circuits (inferior frontal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, insula, and fusiform gyrus). These circuits are important for processing the emotional states of others (Iacoboni & Dapretto, 2006; Völlm et al., 2005). Lenzi et al. (2009) found that parent responses to pictures of their own infant in these mirror-neuron circuits were related to parental reflective functioning. Thus, higher activations in these brain regions contribute to breastfeeding mothers’ ability to understand their own infant’s emotional state and respond in an appropriate way to the infant’s needs.

Breastfeeding mothers exhibited a trend toward higher maternal sensitivity during mother–infant interaction at 3–4 months postpartum as compared to formula-feeding mothers. The idea that the greater maternal brain activations among breastfeeding mothers may facilitate greater behavioral sensitivity to the infant is also supported by the finding of significant correlation between brain activations and maternal sensitivity in dyadic interactions observed 3 to 4 months postpartum. Importantly, sensitive maternal behavior observed in dyadic mother–child interactions at 3 months has been shown to be associated with improved cognitive, social, emotional, and moral development across childhood and up to adolescence (Feldman, 2007b; Feldman, Greenbaum, & Yirmiya, 1999; Isabella & Belsky, 1991).

It is important to note that the relationship of breastfeeding with greater maternal brain responses
and more sensitive maternal behaviors may not be causal and confounded by other factors. For example, one possible mechanism underlying the relationships between breastfeeding, greater activations in the maternal circuits, and the mother’s later sensitivity to infant stimuli could be due to the effects of the neurohormones involved in nursing, such as oxytocin. Oxytocin, which is synthesized in the hypothalamus and released from the posterior pituitary, stimulates milk release at the mammary glands. Oxytocin also facilitates other maternal behaviors in animal studies (Febo, Numan, & Ferris, 2005). Higher levels of peripheral oxytocin have been associated with sensitive and synchronous parental behaviors in human mothers and fathers (Feldman, Weller, Zagoor-Sharon, & Levine, 2007). In human fMRI research, brain responses to own baby-cry at the first month postpartum were increased in mothers who delivered vaginally compared with cesarean section (Swain et al., 2008), which has been linked to decreased oxytocin (Kendrick, 2000). Peripheral maternal oxytocin levels, in response to dyadic interactions at 7 months postpartum, was associated with hypothalamic activation in the mother’s brain in response to viewing pictures of their infant at 11 months postpartum (Strathern et al., 2009a). The duration of breastfeeding may be related to the oxytocin levels and play a role in maternal sensitivity and infants’ outcomes (Kramer et al., 2008). It is possible that differences in regional brain activation seen in this study are due to the act of breastfeeding and the increased release of oxytocin. In future studies, it will be important to include measures of oxytocin and other related neurohormones such as prolactin directly.

Another potentially confounding issue concerns the factors which influence a mother’s decision to breastfeed before the child is born. For example, Britton and colleagues (2006) found that intention to breastfeed prior to the child’s birth predicted maternal sensitivity at 3 months postpartum. Likewise, mothers who experienced consistent and positive care in childhood are also more likely to provide warm and sensitive parenting to their children (Belsky, Jaffe, Sligo, Woodward, & Silva, 2005). In a related fMRI study, a mother’s self-report of early experiences with her own mother has been associated with maternal brain responses to infant cries at the first postpartum period (Kim et al., 2010b). Thus, these maternal factors that contribute to each mother’s decision to breastfeed include the strength of the emotional bond with the fetus as well as the sum of early life experiences with her own mother. These factors, in turn, may play a role in both the mother’s brain activations and parenting behaviors. The relationship between breastfeeding and parenting may also be moderated by environmental factors such as cultural and socioeconomic background as well as other maternal characteristics such as race, education level, and age. Studies have shown that breastfeeding mothers tend to be older, more educated, of higher socioeconomic status, and of greater social support (Dennis, 2002). In the current study, breastfeeding mothers tended to be more educated. As a result, we included maternal education as a covariate in the analyses. High levels of education, socioeconomic status and social support are also important factors for responsive parenting (Magnuson & Duncan, 2002; McLoyd & Wilson, 1990). Society’s perception of breastfeeding could also moderate the link between breastfeeding and parental outcomes. In some societies, breastfeeding is the norm of infant feeding practice and breastfeeding may not necessarily predict the quality of maternal care, whereas in other societies breastfeeding may not be strongly encouraged. Thus, future studies are needed to assess whether these confounding maternal and environmental/contextual factors may have affected the choice of feeding styles and contribute to long-term differences in brain activations and parenting behaviors between breastfeeding and bottle-feeding mothers.

Limitations of the study are important to consider in the interpretation of the findings and for guiding future research. First, although our sample is considered sizable for a brain imaging study, the number of mothers who participated in this study is relatively small. Future studies with a larger sample of mothers may be able to tease apart changes related to specific parenting behaviors from those related to non-specific aspects of the early postpartum. Second, although infant cries from the two groups and the control infant cry were rated as having a similar level of emotional intensity by independent raters, because mothers selected samples of their own baby cries by themselves, it is possible that one group of mothers may have selected cry samples which they perceived as being more emotionally salient. Thus, future studies comparing brain responses to three groups of cry stimuli—own baby, other group’s baby, and control baby—may help resolve this issue. Third, we did not measure maternal sensitivity at 2–4 weeks postpartum when the brain responses to infant stimuli were assessed. Future studies are needed to explore the relationship between patterns of maternal brain stimuli and concurrent mother–infant dyadic interaction.

In sum, our findings represent the first evidence using brain imaging that breastfeeding at the first month postpartum has a significant link to both enhanced maternal brain responses to infant stimuli and maternal behaviors. Consistent with these results, we found that the greater maternal brain response to own-baby stimuli at the first few weeks of a child’s life may be related to more positive and sensitive mothering during the early postpartum period. However, because factors related to a mother’s decision to breastfeed may also be associated with these parental outcomes, future research is needed to understand whether breastfeeding...
moderates the relationship between a mother’s decision to breastfeed and neurological responses to her infant with pre- and post-intervention studies. More research is also required to examine whether the increase in brain response related to breastfeeding and the significant associations between early maternal brain responses and later parenting behaviors influence the infant’s cognitive, social, and emotional development.
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Key points
- Early maternal care plays a critical role in the healthy development of infants.
- In related studies, breastfeeding is associated with close contact between a mother and infant, and children’s better neurological and cognitive development.
- In this study, breastfeeding is linked with greater maternal responses to her own infant cry stimuli in brain regions important for caregiving behaviors and empathy at 1 month after delivery.
- In this study, the levels of activation seen at 1 month postpartum are correlated with maternal sensitivity measured at 3–4 months postpartum.
- A better understanding of the role of breastfeeding in the functioning of the maternal brain and infant-directed behaviors may clarify biobehavioral mechanisms of healthy and disordered mother–infant relationship formation and lead to the development of ways to optimize mother–infant bonding during the early postpartum period.
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